

Entropic Fluctuations Statistical Mechanics

Part I: Classical Dynamical Systems

C.-A. Pillet (CPT - Université du Sud – Toulon-Var)

joint work with

V. Jakšić (McGill University)

L. Rey-Bellet (University of Massachusetts, Amherst)

mostly based on works by

Cohen, Evans, Gallavotti, Kurchan, Lebowitz, Morriss, Searles, Spohn, ...

Overview

- Classical framework
- Entropy production
- Entropic fluctuations: The Evans-Searles identity
- Entropic fluctuations: The generalized Evans-Searles identity
- Linear response: Finite time
- Nonequilibrium steady states (NESS)
- Linear response: The large time limit
- The Central Limit Theorem – Fluctuation-Dissipation
- Entropic fluctuations: The limiting Evans-Searles symmetry
- Entropic fluctuations: The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry
- L^p -Liouvilleans
- The principle of regular entropic fluctuations
- Examples

0. Classical Framework

Measurable dynamical system with decent metric properties $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$

0. Classical Framework

Measurable dynamical system with decent metric properties $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$

- Phase space (M, \mathcal{F}) : complete separable metric space with Borel σ -field.

0. Classical Framework

Measurable dynamical system with decent metric properties $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$

- Phase space (M, \mathcal{F}) : complete separable metric space with Borel σ -field.
- Dynamics $(\phi^t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$: continuous group of homeomorphisms of M .

0. Classical Framework

Measurable dynamical system with decent metric properties $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$

- Phase space (M, \mathcal{F}) : complete separable metric space with Borel σ -field.
- Dynamics $(\phi^t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$: continuous group of homeomorphisms of M .
- State μ : $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, the space of Borel probability measures on (M, \mathcal{F}) .

0. Classical Framework

Measurable dynamical system with decent metric properties $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$

- Phase space (M, \mathcal{F}) : complete separable metric space with Borel σ -field.
- Dynamics $(\phi^t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$: continuous group of homeomorphisms of M .
- State μ : $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, the space of Borel probability measures on (M, \mathcal{F}) .
- Observables f : $f \in \mathcal{B}$, the space of bounded measurable real functions on M .

0. Classical Framework

Measurable dynamical system with decent metric properties $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$

- Phase space (M, \mathcal{F}) : complete separable metric space with Borel σ -field.
- Dynamics $(\phi^t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$: continuous group of homeomorphisms of M .
- State μ : $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, the space of Borel probability measures on (M, \mathcal{F}) .
- Observables f : $f \in \mathcal{B}$, the space of bounded measurable real functions on M .
- Time-reversal: ϑ continuous involution of M s.t. $\phi^t \circ \vartheta = \vartheta \circ \phi^{-t}$.

0. Classical Framework

Measurable dynamical system with decent metric properties $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$

- Phase space (M, \mathcal{F}) : complete separable metric space with Borel σ -field.
- Dynamics $(\phi^t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$: continuous group of homeomorphisms of M .
- State μ : $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, the space of Borel probability measures on (M, \mathcal{F}) .
- Observables f : $f \in \mathcal{B}$, the space of bounded measurable real functions on M .
- Time-reversal: ϑ continuous involution of M s.t. $\phi^t \circ \vartheta = \vartheta \circ \phi^{-t}$.

Notation: For $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$, $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\nu(f) = \int_M f d\nu$$

$$f^t = f \circ \phi^t, \quad \nu^t(f) = \nu(f^t)$$

0. Classical Framework

Measurable dynamical system with decent metric properties $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$

- Phase space (M, \mathcal{F}) : complete separable metric space with Borel σ -field.
- Dynamics $(\phi^t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$: continuous group of homeomorphisms of M .
- State μ : $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, the space of Borel probability measures on (M, \mathcal{F}) .
- Observables f : $f \in \mathcal{B}$, the space of bounded measurable real functions on M .
- Time-reversal: ϑ continuous involution of M s.t. $\phi^t \circ \vartheta = \vartheta \circ \phi^{-t}$.

Notation:

$$\mathcal{P}_I = \{\nu \in \mathcal{P} \mid \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \nu^t = \nu\} \quad (\text{steady states})$$

$$\mathcal{P}_\mu = \{\nu \in \mathcal{P} \mid \nu \ll \mu\} \quad (\mu\text{-normal states})$$

$$\text{For } \nu \in \mathcal{P}_\mu : \Delta_{\nu|\mu} = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}, \quad \ell_{\nu|\mu} = \log \Delta_{\nu|\mu}$$

0. Classical Framework

Measurable dynamical system with decent metric properties $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$

- Phase space (M, \mathcal{F}) : complete separable metric space with Borel σ -field.
- Dynamics $(\phi^t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$: continuous group of homeomorphisms of M .
- State μ : $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, the space of Borel probability measures on (M, \mathcal{F}) .
- Observables f : $f \in \mathcal{B}$, the space of bounded measurable real functions on M .
- Time-reversal: ϑ continuous involution of M s.t. $\phi^t \circ \vartheta = \vartheta \circ \phi^{-t}$.

Relative entropy: For $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{P}$

$$0 \geq \text{Ent}(\omega|\nu) = - \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}} \left(\omega(f) - \log \nu(e^f) \right) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } \omega \notin \mathcal{P}_\nu \\ -\omega(\ell_{\omega|\nu}) & \text{if } \omega \in \mathcal{P}_\nu \end{cases}$$

0. Classical Framework

Measurable dynamical system with decent metric properties $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$

- Phase space (M, \mathcal{F}) : complete separable metric space with Borel σ -field.
- Dynamics $(\phi^t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$: continuous group of homeomorphisms of M .
- State μ : $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, the space of Borel probability measures on (M, \mathcal{F}) .
- Observables f : $f \in \mathcal{B}$, the space of bounded measurable real functions on M .
- Time-reversal: ϑ continuous involution of M s.t. $\phi^t \circ \vartheta = \vartheta \circ \phi^{-t}$.

Rényi entropy: For $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\text{Ent}_\alpha(\omega|\nu) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } \omega \notin \mathcal{P}_\nu \\ \log \omega(\Delta_{\omega|\nu}^\alpha) & \text{if } \omega \in \mathcal{P}_\nu \end{cases}$$

0. Classical Framework

Measurable dynamical system with decent metric properties $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$

- Phase space (M, \mathcal{F}) : complete separable metric space with Borel σ -field.
- Dynamics $(\phi^t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$: continuous group of homeomorphisms of M .
- State μ : $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, the space of Borel probability measures on (M, \mathcal{F}) .
- Observables f : $f \in \mathcal{B}$, the space of bounded measurable real functions on M .
- Time-reversal: ϑ continuous involution of M s.t. $\phi^t \circ \vartheta = \vartheta \circ \phi^{-t}$.

Basic assumptions:

$$(REG) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \mu^t \in \mathcal{P}_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \ell_{\mu^t | \mu} \right|_{t=0} \quad \text{is continuous on } M$$

$$(TRI) \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{B}, \mu(f \circ \vartheta) = \mu(f)$$

1. Entropy production

Proposition. (The cocycle property) For all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$l_{\mu^{t+s}|\mu} = l_{\mu^t|\mu} + l_{\mu^s|\mu} \circ \phi^{-t}$$

1. Entropy production

Proposition. (The cocycle property) For all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$\ell_{\mu^{t+s}|\mu} = \ell_{\mu^t|\mu} + \ell_{\mu^s|\mu} \circ \phi^{-t}$$

Corollary. Under our basic assumption (REG)

$$\ell_{\mu^t|\mu} = \int_0^t \sigma^{-s} ds$$

and hence one has the **entropy balance equation**

$$\text{Ent}(\mu^t|\mu) - \text{Ent}(\mu|\mu) = -\mu^t(\ell_{\mu^t|\mu}) = -\int_0^t \mu(\sigma^s) ds$$

1. Entropy production

Proposition. (The cocycle property) For all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$\ell_{\mu^{t+s}|\mu} = \ell_{\mu^t|\mu} + \ell_{\mu^s|\mu} \circ \phi^{-t}$$

Corollary. Under our basic assumption (REG)

$$\ell_{\mu^t|\mu} = \int_0^t \sigma^{-s} ds$$

and hence one has the **entropy balance equation**

$$\text{Ent}(\mu^t|\mu) - \text{Ent}(\mu|\mu) = -\mu^t(\ell_{\mu^t|\mu}) = -\int_0^t \mu(\sigma^s) ds$$



Mean entropy production rate over the period $[0, t]$

$$-\frac{1}{t} \text{Ent}(\mu^t|\mu) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu(\sigma^s) ds \geq 0$$

2. Entropic fluctuations: The Evans-Searles identity

$$\mathcal{S}_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s ds = \frac{1}{t} \ell_{\mu^t | \mu} \circ \phi^t \quad (\text{mean entropy production rate observable})$$

2. Entropic fluctuations: The Evans-Searles identity

$$\mathcal{S}_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s ds = \frac{1}{t} \ell_{\mu^t | \mu} \circ \phi^t \quad (\text{mean entropy production rate observable})$$

$$P^t(f) = \mu(f(\mathcal{S}_t)) \quad \bar{P}^t(f) = \mu(f(-\mathcal{S}_t)) \quad (\text{distributions of } \mathcal{S}_t \text{ and } -\mathcal{S}_t)$$

2. Entropic fluctuations: The Evans-Searles identity

$$\mathcal{S}_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s ds = \frac{1}{t} \ell_{\mu^t | \mu} \circ \phi^t \quad (\text{mean entropy production rate observable})$$

$$P^t(f) = \mu(f(\mathcal{S}_t)) \quad \bar{P}^t(f) = \mu(f(-\mathcal{S}_t)) \quad (\text{distributions of } \mathcal{S}_t \text{ and } -\mathcal{S}_t)$$

Theorem. (Evans-Searles [1994] or transient fluctuation theorem) Under assumptions (REG) and (TRI) negative values of \mathcal{S}_t become exponentially rare as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (dynamical form of 2nd law !). More precisely one has

$$\frac{d\bar{P}^t}{dP^t}(s) = e^{-ts}$$

2. Entropic fluctuations: The Evans-Searles identity

$$\mathcal{S}_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s ds = \frac{1}{t} \ell_{\mu^t|\mu} \circ \phi^t \quad (\text{mean entropy production rate observable})$$

$$P^t(f) = \mu(f(\mathcal{S}_t)) \quad \bar{P}^t(f) = \mu(f(-\mathcal{S}_t)) \quad (\text{distributions of } \mathcal{S}_t \text{ and } -\mathcal{S}_t)$$

Theorem. (Evans-Searles [1994] or transient fluctuation theorem) Under assumptions (REG) and (TRI) negative values of \mathcal{S}_t become exponentially rare as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (dynamical form of 2nd law !). More precisely one has

$$\frac{d\bar{P}^t}{dP^t}(s) = e^{-ts}$$

Proof. (TRI) $\Rightarrow \mu^t(f \circ \vartheta) = \mu^{-t}(f) \Rightarrow \sigma \circ \vartheta = -\sigma \Rightarrow \ell_{\mu^t|\mu} \circ \vartheta = -\mathcal{S}_t$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}^t(f) &= \mu \left(f \left(-\frac{1}{t} \ell_{\mu^t|\mu} \circ \phi^t \right) \right) = \mu^t \left(f \left(-\frac{1}{t} \ell_{\mu^t|\mu} \right) \right) = \mu \left(f \left(-\frac{1}{t} \ell_{\mu^t|\mu} \right) e^{\ell_{\mu^t|\mu}} \right) \\ &= \mu \left(f \left(-\frac{1}{t} \ell_{\mu^t|\mu} \circ \vartheta \right) e^{\ell_{\mu^t|\mu} \circ \vartheta} \right) = \mu \left(f(\mathcal{S}_t) e^{-t\mathcal{S}_t} \right) = P^t(fe^{-ts}) \end{aligned}$$

2. Entropic fluctuations: The Evans-Searles identity

$$\mathcal{S}_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s ds = \frac{1}{t} \ell_{\mu^t | \mu} \circ \phi^t \quad (\text{mean entropy production rate observable})$$

$$P^t(f) = \mu(f(\mathcal{S}_t)) \quad \bar{P}^t(f) = \mu(f(-\mathcal{S}_t)) \quad (\text{distributions of } \mathcal{S}_t \text{ and } -\mathcal{S}_t)$$

Theorem. (Evans-Searles [1994] or transient fluctuation theorem) Under assumptions (REG) and (TRI) negative values of \mathcal{S}_t become exponentially rare as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (dynamical form of 2nd law !). More precisely one has

$$\frac{d\bar{P}^t}{dP^t}(s) = e^{-ts}$$

Define the **ES function**

$$e^t(\alpha) = \text{Ent}_\alpha(\mu^t | \mu) = \mu \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^s ds} \right) = \mu \left(e^{-\alpha t \mathcal{S}_t} \right)$$

2. Entropic fluctuations: The Evans-Searles identity

$$\mathcal{S}_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s ds = \frac{1}{t} \ell_{\mu^t | \mu} \circ \phi^t \quad (\text{mean entropy production rate observable})$$

$$P^t(f) = \mu(f(\mathcal{S}_t)) \quad \bar{P}^t(f) = \mu(f(-\mathcal{S}_t)) \quad (\text{distributions of } \mathcal{S}_t \text{ and } -\mathcal{S}_t)$$

Theorem. (Evans-Searles [1994] or transient fluctuation theorem) Under assumptions (REG) and (TRI) negative values of \mathcal{S}_t become exponentially rare as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (dynamical form of 2nd law !). More precisely one has

$$\frac{d\bar{P}^t}{dP^t}(s) = e^{-ts}$$

Define the **ES function**

$$e^t(\alpha) = \text{Ent}_\alpha(\mu^t | \mu) = \mu \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^s ds} \right) = \mu \left(e^{-\alpha t \mathcal{S}_t} \right)$$

Alternative formulation of the ES theorem: the **ES symmetry**

$$e^t(1 - \alpha) = e^t(\alpha)$$

3. Entropic fluctuations: The generalized ES identity

Assume we have some control of our dynamical system

$$\mathbb{R}^n \ni X \mapsto (M, \mathcal{F}, \phi_X^t, \mu_X)$$

3. Entropic fluctuations: The generalized ES identity

Assume we have some control of our dynamical system

$$\mathbb{R}^n \ni X \mapsto (M, \mathcal{F}, \phi_X^t, \mu_X)$$

- μ_0 is ϕ_0^t -invariant, i.e., $X = 0$ represent some equilibrium situation.

3. Entropic fluctuations: The generalized ES identity

Assume we have some control of our dynamical system

$$\mathbb{R}^n \ni X \mapsto (M, \mathcal{F}, \phi_X^t, \mu_X)$$

- μ_0 is ϕ_0^t -invariant, i.e., $X = 0$ represent some equilibrium situation.
- $X \mapsto \sigma_X$ is C^1 near $X = 0$, then

$$\sigma_X = X \cdot \Phi_X = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \Phi_X^{(j)}$$

and $\Phi_X = (\Phi_X^{(1)}, \dots, \Phi_X^{(n)})$ is the vector of **current** observables, the current $\Phi_X^{(j)}$ being associated to the **force** X_j .

3. Entropic fluctuations: The generalized ES identity

Assume we have some control of our dynamical system

$$\mathbb{R}^n \ni X \mapsto (M, \mathcal{F}, \phi_X^t, \mu_X)$$

- μ_0 is ϕ_0^t -invariant, i.e., $X = 0$ represent some equilibrium situation.
- $X \mapsto \sigma_X$ is C^1 near $X = 0$, then

$$\sigma_X = X \cdot \Phi_X = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \Phi_X^{(j)}$$

and $\Phi_X = (\Phi_X^{(1)}, \dots, \Phi_X^{(n)})$ is the vector of **current** observables, the current $\Phi_X^{(j)}$ being associated to the **force** X_j .

- ϑ is independent of X , then

$$\Phi_X \circ \vartheta = -\Phi_X \quad \mu_0(\Phi_0) = 0$$

3. Entropic fluctuations: The generalized ES identity

$$P_X^t(f) = \mu \left(f \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \Phi_X^s ds \right) \right) \quad \bar{P}_X^t(f) = \mu \left(f \left(-\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \Phi_X^s ds \right) \right)$$

3. Entropic fluctuations: The generalized ES identity

$$P_X^t(f) = \mu \left(f \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \Phi_X^s ds \right) \right) \quad \bar{P}_X^t(f) = \mu \left(f \left(-\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \Phi_X^s ds \right) \right)$$

Theorem. (Generalized ES fluctuation theorem) Under our assumptions, as $t \rightarrow \infty$ the currents flow mostly in definite directions

$$\frac{d\bar{P}_X^t}{dP_X^t}(\Phi^{(1)}, \dots, \Phi^{(n)}) = \exp \left(-t \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \Phi^{(j)} \right)$$

3. Entropic fluctuations: The generalized ES identity

$$P_X^t(f) = \mu \left(f \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \Phi_X^s ds \right) \right) \quad \bar{P}_X^t(f) = \mu \left(f \left(-\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \Phi_X^s ds \right) \right)$$

Theorem. (Generalized ES fluctuation theorem) Under our assumptions, as $t \rightarrow \infty$ the currents flow mostly in definite directions

$$\frac{d\bar{P}_X^t}{dP_X^t}(\Phi^{(1)}, \dots, \Phi^{(n)}) = \exp \left(-t \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \Phi^{(j)} \right)$$

Equivalently the **generalized ES function**

$$g^t(X, Y) = \mu_X \left(e^{-Y \cdot \int_0^t \Phi_X^s ds} \right)$$

3. Entropic fluctuations: The generalized ES identity

$$P_X^t(f) = \mu \left(f \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \Phi_X^s ds \right) \right) \quad \bar{P}_X^t(f) = \mu \left(f \left(-\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \Phi_X^s ds \right) \right)$$

Theorem. (Generalized ES fluctuation theorem) Under our assumptions, as $t \rightarrow \infty$ the currents flow mostly in definite directions

$$\frac{d\bar{P}_X^t}{dP_X^t}(\Phi^{(1)}, \dots, \Phi^{(n)}) = \exp \left(-t \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \Phi^{(j)} \right)$$

Equivalently the **generalized ES function**

$$g^t(X, Y) = \mu_X \left(e^{-Y \cdot \int_0^t \Phi_X^s ds} \right)$$

satisfies the **generalized ES symmetry**

$$g^t(X, X - Y) = g^t(X, Y)$$

4. Linear response: Finite time

If

$$X \mapsto \langle \Phi_X \rangle^t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_X(\Phi_X^s) ds$$

is differentiable at $X = 0$

4. Linear response: Finite time

If

$$X \mapsto \langle \Phi_X \rangle^t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_X(\Phi_X^s) ds$$

is differentiable at $X = 0$ we set

$$L_{jk}^t = \partial_{X_k} \langle \Phi_X^{(j)} \rangle^t \Big|_{X=0} \quad (\text{finite time transport matrix})$$

4. Linear response: Finite time

If

$$X \mapsto \langle \Phi_X \rangle^t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu_X(\Phi_X^s) ds$$

is differentiable at $X = 0$ we set

$$L_{jk}^t = \partial_{X_k} \langle \Phi_X^{(j)} \rangle^t \Big|_{X=0} \quad (\text{finite time transport matrix})$$

Theorem. (Finite time Green-Kubo formula and Onsager reciprocity relations) Assume that $(X, Y) \mapsto g^t(X, Y)$ is C^2 near $(0, 0)$. Then

$$L_{jk}^t = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-t}^t \mu_0 \left(\Phi_0^{(k)} \Phi_0^{(j)s} \right) \left(1 - \frac{|s|}{t} \right) ds$$

and in particular the finite time transport matrix is symmetric (Onsager Reciprocity).

4. Linear response: Finite time

Remark. The following shows that the transport matrix is non-negative.

$$0 \leq \langle \sigma_X \rangle^t = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \langle \Phi_X^{(j)} \rangle^t = \sum_{j,k=1}^n L_{jk}^t X_j X_k + o(|X|^2)$$

4. Linear response: Finite time

Remark. The following shows that the transport matrix is non-negative.

$$0 \leq \langle \sigma_X \rangle^t = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \langle \Phi_X^{(j)} \rangle^t = \sum_{j,k=1}^n L_{jk}^t X_j X_k + o(|X|^2)$$

Proof of the theorem. One has

$$L_{jk}^t = \partial_{X_k} \langle \Phi_X^{(j)} \rangle^t \Big|_{X=0} = -\frac{1}{t} \partial_{X_k} \partial_{Y_j} g^t(X, Y) \Big|_{X=Y=0}$$

4. Linear response: Finite time

Remark. The following shows that the transport matrix is non-negative.

$$0 \leq \langle \sigma_X \rangle^t = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \langle \Phi_X^{(j)} \rangle^t = \sum_{j,k=1}^n L_{jk}^t X_j X_k + o(|X|^2)$$

Proof of the theorem. One has

$$L_{jk}^t = \partial_{X_k} \langle \Phi_X^{(j)} \rangle^t \Big|_{X=0} = -\frac{1}{t} \partial_{X_k} \partial_{Y_j} g^t(X, Y) \Big|_{X=Y=0}$$

As a consequence of the generalized ES symmetry one also has

$$-\partial_{X_k} \partial_{Y_j} g^t(X, Y) \Big|_{X=Y=0} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{Y_k} \partial_{Y_j} g^t(X, Y) \Big|_{X=Y=0}$$

(note that the symmetry of L^t already follows from this formula!)

4. Linear response: Finite time

Remark. The following shows that the transport matrix is non-negative.

$$0 \leq \langle \sigma_X \rangle^t = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \langle \Phi_X^{(j)} \rangle^t = \sum_{j,k=1}^n L_{jk}^t X_j X_k + o(|X|^2)$$

Proof of the theorem. One has

$$L_{jk}^t = \partial_{X_k} \langle \Phi_X^{(j)} \rangle^t \Big|_{X=0} = -\frac{1}{t} \partial_{X_k} \partial_{Y_j} g^t(X, Y) \Big|_{X=Y=0}$$

As a consequence of the generalized ES symmetry one also has

$$-\partial_{X_k} \partial_{Y_j} g^t(X, Y) \Big|_{X=Y=0} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{Y_k} \partial_{Y_j} g^t(X, Y) \Big|_{X=Y=0}$$

(note that the symmetry of L^t already follows from this formula!) Thus we can write

$$L_{jk}^t = \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^t \int_0^t \mu_0 \left(\Phi_0^{(k)s_1} \Phi_0^{(j)s_2} \right) ds_1 ds_2 = \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^t \int_0^t \mu_0 \left(\Phi_0^{(k)} \Phi_0^{(j)s_2-s_1} \right) ds_1 ds_2$$

4. Linear response: Finite time

Remark. The following shows that the transport matrix is non-negative.

$$0 \leq \langle \sigma_X \rangle^t = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \langle \Phi_X^{(j)} \rangle^t = \sum_{j,k=1}^n L_{jk}^t X_j X_k + o(|X|^2)$$

Proof of the theorem. One has

$$L_{jk}^t = \partial_{X_k} \langle \Phi_X^{(j)} \rangle^t \Big|_{X=0} = -\frac{1}{t} \partial_{X_k} \partial_{Y_j} g^t(X, Y) \Big|_{X=Y=0}$$

As a consequence of the generalized ES symmetry one also has

$$-\partial_{X_k} \partial_{Y_j} g^t(X, Y) \Big|_{X=Y=0} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{Y_k} \partial_{Y_j} g^t(X, Y) \Big|_{X=Y=0}$$

(note that the symmetry of L^t already follows from this formula!) Thus we can write

$$L_{jk}^t = \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^t \int_0^t \mu_0 \left(\Phi_0^{(k)s_1} \Phi_0^{(j)s_2} \right) ds_1 ds_2 = \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^t \int_0^t \mu_0 \left(\Phi_0^{(k)} \Phi_0^{(j)s_2-s_1} \right) ds_1 ds_2$$

and the result follows by a simple change of integration variables.

5. Nonequilibrium Steady States

Definition. $\mu^+ \in \mathcal{P}$ is the **NESS** of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu^s(f) ds = \mu^+(f)$$

for all bounded continuous f .

5. Nonequilibrium Steady States

Definition. $\mu^+ \in \mathcal{P}$ is the **NESS** of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu^s(f) ds = \mu^+(f)$$

for all bounded continuous f . μ^+ is **entropically non-trivial** if $\mu^+(\sigma) > 0$.

5. Nonequilibrium Steady States

Definition. $\mu^+ \in \mathcal{P}$ is the **NESS** of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu^s(f) ds = \mu^+(f)$$

for all bounded continuous f . μ^+ is **entropically non-trivial** if $\mu^+(\sigma) > 0$.

QuasiTheorem. The NESS μ_+ of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ is entropically non-trivial if and only if $\mu^+ \notin \mathcal{P}_\mu$, i.e., μ^+ is singular w.r.t. μ .

5. Nonequilibrium Steady States

Definition. $\mu^+ \in \mathcal{P}$ is the **NESS** of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu^s(f) ds = \mu^+(f)$$

for all bounded continuous f . μ^+ is **entropically non-trivial** if $\mu^+(\sigma) > 0$.

QuasiTheorem. The NESS μ_+ of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ is entropically non-trivial if and only if $\mu^+ \notin \mathcal{P}_\mu$, i.e., μ^+ is singular w.r.t. μ .

Entropic non-triviality is the signature of non-equilibrium

5. Nonequilibrium Steady States

Definition. $\mu^+ \in \mathcal{P}$ is the **NESS** of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mu^s(f) ds = \mu^+(f)$$

for all bounded continuous f . μ^+ is **entropically non-trivial** if $\mu^+(\sigma) > 0$.

QuasiTheorem. The NESS μ_+ of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ is entropically non-trivial if and only if $\mu^+ \notin \mathcal{P}_\mu$, i.e., μ^+ is singular w.r.t. μ .

Entropic non-triviality is the signature of non-equilibrium

Theorem.(i) If $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_I \cap \mathcal{P}_\mu$ then $\nu(\sigma) = 0$.

(ii). If $\mu^+(\sigma) - \mu^t(\sigma) = O(t^{-1})$ then $\mu^+(\sigma) = 0$ implies $\mu^+ \in \mathcal{P}_I \cap \mathcal{P}_\mu$.

6. Linear response: The large time limit

Assume that for small $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the controlled system $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi_X^t, \mu_X)$ has a NESS μ_X

6. Linear response: The large time limit

Assume that for small $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the controlled system $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi_X^t, \mu_X)$ has a NESS μ_X

$$\langle \Phi_X \rangle^+ = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi_X \rangle^t = \mu_X^+(\Phi_X) \quad (\text{steady currents in the NESS } \mu_X^+)$$

6. Linear response: The large time limit

Assume that for small $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the controlled system $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi_X^t, \mu_X)$ has a NESS μ_X

$$\langle \Phi_X \rangle^+ = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi_X \rangle^t = \mu_X^+(\Phi_X) \quad (\text{steady currents in the NESS } \mu_X^+)$$

$$L_{jk} = \partial_{X_k} \langle \Phi_X \rangle^+ \Big|_{X=0} = \partial_{X_k} \left[\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi_X \rangle^t \right] \Big|_{X=0} \quad (\text{NESS transport matrix})$$

6. Linear response: The large time limit

Assume that for small $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the controlled system $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi_X^t, \mu_X)$ has a NESS μ_X

$$\langle \Phi_X \rangle^+ = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi_X \rangle^t = \mu_X^+(\Phi_X) \quad (\text{steady currents in the NESS } \mu_X^+)$$

$$L_{jk} = \partial_{X_k} \langle \Phi_X \rangle^+ \Big|_{X=0} = \partial_{X_k} \left[\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi_X \rangle^t \right] \Big|_{X=0} \quad (\text{NESS transport matrix})$$

If the limit and derivative can be exchanged

$$L_{jk} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} L_{jk}^t = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-t}^t \mu_0 \left(\Phi_0^{(k)} \Phi_0^{(j)s} \right) \left(1 - \frac{|s|}{t} \right) ds$$

6. Linear response: The large time limit

Assume that for small $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the controlled system $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi_X^t, \mu_X)$ has a NESS μ_X

$$\langle \Phi_X \rangle^+ = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi_X \rangle^t = \mu_X^+(\Phi_X) \quad (\text{steady currents in the NESS } \mu_X^+)$$

$$L_{jk} = \partial_{X_k} \langle \Phi_X \rangle^+ \Big|_{X=0} = \partial_{X_k} \left[\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi_X \rangle^t \right] \Big|_{X=0} \quad (\text{NESS transport matrix})$$

If the limit and derivative can be exchanged

$$L_{jk} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} L_{jk}^t = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-t}^t \mu_0 \left(\Phi_0^{(k)} \Phi_0^{(j)s} \right) \left(1 - \frac{|s|}{t} \right) ds$$

If the **equilibrium current-current correlation function** $s \mapsto \mu_0 \left(\Phi_0^{(k)} \Phi_0^{(j)s} \right)$ is integrable one gets the **Green-Kubo formula** and the **Onsager reciprocity relations**

$$L_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_0 \left(\Phi_0^{(k)} \Phi_0^{(j)s} \right) ds, \quad L_{jk} = L_{kj}$$

6. Linear response: The large time limit

Assume that for small $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the controlled system $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi_X^t, \mu_X)$ has a NESS μ_X

$$\langle \Phi_X \rangle^+ = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi_X \rangle^t = \mu_X^+(\Phi_X) \quad (\text{steady currents in the NESS } \mu_X^+)$$

$$L_{jk} = \partial_{X_k} \langle \Phi_X \rangle^+ \Big|_{X=0} = \partial_{X_k} \left[\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi_X \rangle^t \right] \Big|_{X=0} \quad (\text{NESS transport matrix})$$

If the limit and derivative can be exchanged

$$L_{jk} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} L_{jk}^t = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-t}^t \mu_0 \left(\Phi_0^{(k)} \Phi_0^{(j)s} \right) \left(1 - \frac{|s|}{t} \right) ds$$

If the **equilibrium current-current correlation function** $s \mapsto \mu_0 \left(\Phi_0^{(k)} \Phi_0^{(j)s} \right)$ is integrable one gets the **Green-Kubo formula** and the **Onsager reciprocity relations**

$$L_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_0 \left(\Phi_0^{(k)} \Phi_0^{(j)s} \right) ds, \quad L_{jk} = L_{kj}$$

These are delicate dynamical problems that can only be checked in specific models.

7. The Central Limit Theorem – Fluctuation-Dissipation

The Central Limit Theorem holds for the currents if there is a positive definite matrix D s.t., for all bounded continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_0 \left(f \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t \Phi_0^s ds \right) \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n \det D}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\Phi) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \Phi \cdot D^{-1} \Phi} d\Phi$$

7. The Central Limit Theorem – Fluctuation-Dissipation

The Central Limit Theorem holds for the currents if there is a positive definite matrix D s.t., for all bounded continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_0 \left(f \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t \Phi_0^s ds \right) \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n \det D}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\Phi) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \Phi \cdot D^{-1} \Phi} d\Phi$$

Einstein's relation

$$D_{jk} = 2L_{jk}$$

together with the Green-Kubo formula

$$L_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_0 \left(\Phi_0^{(k)} \Phi_0^{(j)s} \right) ds$$

and the Onsager reciprocity relations $L_{jk} = L_{kj}$ complete the Fluctuation-Dissipation theorem for the system $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi_X^t, \mu_X)$ near equilibrium ($X = 0$).

8. Entropic fluctuations: The limiting ES symmetry

Recall the ES function

$$e^t(\alpha) = \mu \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^s ds} \right)$$

8. Entropic fluctuations: The limiting ES symmetry

Recall the ES function

$$e^t(\alpha) = \mu \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^s ds} \right)$$

The ES fluctuation theorem says that

$$e^t(1 - \alpha) = e^t(\alpha)$$

8. Entropic fluctuations: The limiting ES symmetry

Recall the ES function

$$e^t(\alpha) = \mu \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^s ds} \right)$$

The ES fluctuation theorem says that

$$e^t(1 - \alpha) = e^t(\alpha)$$

Assume now that the **limiting ES function**

$$e(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log e^t(\alpha)$$

exists and is differentiable for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

8. Entropic fluctuations: The limiting ES symmetry

- $e(\alpha)$ is a convex function satisfying the ES symmetry $e(1 - \alpha) = e(\alpha)$ and therefore $e(0) = e(1) = 0$.

8. Entropic fluctuations: The limiting ES symmetry

- $e(\alpha)$ is a convex function satisfying the ES symmetry $e(1 - \alpha) = e(\alpha)$ and therefore $e(0) = e(1) = 0$.
- $\mu^+(\sigma) = -e'(0) = e'(1)$. In particular, the system is entropically trivial ($\mu^+(\sigma) = 0$) if and only if $e(\alpha)$ is identically zero on $[0, 1]$.

8. Entropic fluctuations: The limiting ES symmetry

- $e(\alpha)$ is a convex function satisfying the ES symmetry $e(1 - \alpha) = e(\alpha)$ and therefore $e(0) = e(1) = 0$.
- $\mu^+(\sigma) = -e'(0) = e'(1)$. In particular, the system is entropically trivial ($\mu^+(\sigma) = 0$) if and only if $e(\alpha)$ is identically zero on $[0, 1]$.
- Exponential convergence in probability

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x \in M \mid \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^t(x) dt - \mu^+(\sigma) \right| \geq \epsilon \right\} \right) \leq e^{-ta(\epsilon)}$$

8. Entropic fluctuations: The limiting ES symmetry

- $e(\alpha)$ is a convex function satisfying the ES symmetry $e(1 - \alpha) = e(\alpha)$ and therefore $e(0) = e(1) = 0$.
- $\mu^+(\sigma) = -e'(0) = e'(1)$. In particular, the system is entropically trivial ($\mu^+(\sigma) = 0$) if and only if $e(\alpha)$ is identically zero on $[0, 1]$.
- Exponential convergence in probability

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x \in M \mid \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s(x) ds - \mu^+(\sigma) \right| \geq \epsilon \right\} \right) \leq e^{-ta(\epsilon)}$$

- Strong law of large numbers

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s(x) ds = \mu^+(\sigma) \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in M$$

8. Entropic fluctuations: The limiting ES symmetry

- $e(\alpha)$ is a convex function satisfying the ES symmetry $e(1 - \alpha) = e(\alpha)$ and therefore $e(0) = e(1) = 0$.
- $\mu^+(\sigma) = -e'(0) = e'(1)$. In particular, the system is entropically trivial ($\mu^+(\sigma) = 0$) if and only if $e(\alpha)$ is identically zero on $[0, 1]$.
- Exponential convergence in probability

$$\mu \left(\left\{ x \in M \mid \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^t(x) dt - \mu^+(\sigma) \right| \geq \epsilon \right\} \right) \leq e^{-t\alpha(\epsilon)}$$

- Strong law of large numbers

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s(x) ds = \mu^+(\sigma) \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in M$$

- Large deviation principle with rate function $I(s) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} (\alpha s - e(\alpha))$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu \left(\left\{ x \in M \mid \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s(x) ds \in]a, b[\right\} \right) = - \inf_{s \in]a, b[} I(s)$$

8. Entropic fluctuations: The limiting ES symmetry

Similar conclusions hold for individual currents $\Phi_X^{(j)}$ if one assumes that the **limiting generalized ES function**

$$g(X, Y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log g^t(X, Y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu_X \left(e^{-Y \cdot \int_0^t \Phi_X^s ds} \right)$$

exists and is a C^1 function of $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Let μ^+ be a NESS of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ and assume that the Gallavotti-Cohen function

$$e^+(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu^+ \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^s ds} \right)$$

exists and is C^1 .

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Let μ^+ be a NESS of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ and assume that the Gallavotti-Cohen function

$$e^+(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu^+ \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^s ds} \right)$$

exists and is C^1 .

Remark. In general, unlike the ES function $e^t(\alpha)$, the finite time GC function

$$e^{+t}(\alpha) = \mu^+ \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^s ds} \right)$$

does not satisfy "the symmetry", i.e. $e^{+t}(1 - \alpha) \neq e^{+t}(\alpha)$.

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Let μ^+ be a NESS of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ and assume that the Gallavotti-Cohen function

$$e^+(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu^+ \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^s ds} \right)$$

exists and is C^1 .

Definition. The GC symmetry holds if, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $e^+(1 - \alpha) = e^+(\alpha)$.

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Let μ^+ be a NESS of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ and assume that the Gallavotti-Cohen function

$$e^+(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu^+ \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^s ds} \right)$$

exists and is C^1 .

Definition. The GC symmetry holds if, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $e^+(1 - \alpha) = e^+(\alpha)$.

- 1993: Cohen, Evans and Morriss discover the GC symmetry in numerical experiments on shear flows.

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Let μ^+ be a NESS of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ and assume that the Gallavotti-Cohen function

$$e^+(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu^+ \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^s ds} \right)$$

exists and is C^1 .

Definition. The GC symmetry holds if, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $e^+(1 - \alpha) = e^+(\alpha)$.

- 1993: Cohen, Evans and Morriss discover the GC symmetry in numerical experiments on shear flows.
- 1995: Cohen and Gallavotti show that the GC symmetry holds for Anosov systems.

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Let μ^+ be a NESS of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ and assume that the Gallavotti-Cohen function

$$e^+(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu^+ \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^s ds} \right)$$

exists and is C^1 .

Definition. The GC symmetry holds if, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $e^+(1 - \alpha) = e^+(\alpha)$.

- 1993: Cohen, Evans and Morriss discover the GC symmetry in numerical experiments on shear flows.
- 1995: Cohen and Gallavotti show that the GC symmetry holds for Anosov systems.
- 1998: Kurchan shows that it also holds for stochastic dynamical systems.

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Let μ^+ be a NESS of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ and assume that the **Gallavotti-Cohen function**

$$e^+(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu^+ \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^s ds} \right)$$

exists and is C^1 .

Definition. The **GC symmetry** holds if, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $e^+(1 - \alpha) = e^+(\alpha)$.

- 1993: Cohen, Evans and Morriss discover the GC symmetry in numerical experiments on shear flows.
- 1995: Cohen and Gallavotti show that the GC symmetry holds for Anosov systems.
- 1998: Kurchan shows that it also holds for stochastic dynamical systems.
- 1999: Lebowitz and Spohn make a detailed analysis of the GC symmetry for Markov processes.

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Let μ^+ be a NESS of $(M, \mathcal{F}, \phi^t, \mu)$ and assume that the **Gallavotti-Cohen function**

$$e^+(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu^+ \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^s ds} \right)$$

exists and is C^1 .

Definition. The **GC symmetry** holds if, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $e^+(1 - \alpha) = e^+(\alpha)$.

- 1993: Cohen, Evans and Morriss discover the GC symmetry in numerical experiments on shear flows.
- 1995: Cohen and Gallavotti show that the GC symmetry holds for Anosov systems.
- 1998: Kurchan shows that it also holds for stochastic dynamical systems.
- 1999: Lebowitz and Spohn make a detailed analysis of the GC symmetry for Markov processes.
- 1999: Maes relates the GC symmetry to the Gibbs property of μ^+ .

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Consequences of the GC symmetry:

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Consequences of the GC symmetry:

- $e^+(\alpha)$ is convex, $e^+(0) = e^+(1) = 0$.

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Consequences of the GC symmetry:

- $e^+(\alpha)$ is convex, $e^+(0) = e^+(1) = 0$.
- The system is entropically trivial if and only if $e^+(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Consequences of the GC symmetry:

- $e^+(\alpha)$ is convex, $e^+(0) = e^+(1) = 0$.
- The system is entropically trivial if and only if $e^+(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.
- $e^{+'}(1) = -e^{+'}(0) = \mu^+(\sigma)$.

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Consequences of the GC symmetry:

- $e^+(\alpha)$ is convex, $e^+(0) = e^+(1) = 0$.
- The system is entropically trivial if and only if $e^+(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.
- $e^{+'}(1) = -e^{+'}(0) = \mu^+(\sigma)$.
- Strong law of large numbers

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s(x) ds = \mu^+(\sigma) \quad \text{for } \mu^+\text{-a.e. } x \in M$$

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Consequences of the GC symmetry:

- $e^+(\alpha)$ is convex, $e^+(0) = e^+(1) = 0$.
- The system is entropically trivial if and only if $e^+(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.
- $e^{+'}(1) = -e^{+'}(0) = \mu^+(\sigma)$.
- Strong law of large numbers

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s(x) ds = \mu^+(\sigma) \quad \text{for } \mu^+\text{-a.e. } x \in M$$

- Large deviation principle with rate function $I^+(s) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} (\alpha s - e^+(\alpha))$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu^+ \left(\left\{ x \in M \mid \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s(x) ds \in]a, b[\right\} \right) = - \inf_{s \in]a, b[} I^+(s)$$

9. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry

Consequences of the GC symmetry:

- $e^+(\alpha)$ is convex, $e^+(0) = e^+(1) = 0$.
- The system is entropically trivial if and only if $e^+(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.
- $e^{+'}(1) = -e^{+'}(0) = \mu^+(\sigma)$.
- Strong law of large numbers

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s(x) ds = \mu^+(\sigma) \quad \text{for } \mu^+\text{-a.e. } x \in M$$

- Large deviation principle with rate function $I^+(s) = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} (\alpha s - e^+(\alpha))$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu^+ \left(\left\{ x \in M \mid \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^s(x) ds \in]a, b[\right\} \right) = - \inf_{s \in]a, b[} I^+(s)$$

- The generalized GC-symmetry $g^+(X, X - Y) = g^+(X, Y)$ yields the fluctuation-dissipation theorem if $g^+(X, Y)$ is $C^{1,2}$.

10. L^p -Liouvillians

$$e^{-itL_p} f = e^{-\frac{1}{p} \int_0^t \sigma_s ds} f^t$$

defines a group of isometries on $L^p(M, \mu)$.

10. L^p -Liouvillians

$$e^{-itL_p} f = e^{-\frac{1}{p} \int_0^t \sigma_s ds} f^t$$

defines a group of isometries on $L^p(M, \mu)$. The generator

$$L_p = L_\infty - \frac{i}{p} \sigma = L_1 + i \frac{1-p}{p} \sigma$$

is the L^p -Liouvillian of the system.

10. L^p -Liouvillians

$$e^{-itL_p} f = e^{-\frac{1}{p} \int_0^t \sigma_s ds} f^t$$

defines a group of isometries on $L^p(M, \mu)$. The generator

$$L_p = L_\infty - \frac{i}{p} \sigma = L_1 + i \frac{1-p}{p} \sigma$$

is the L^p -Liouvillian of the system.

The identity

$$e^t(\alpha) = \langle 1 | e^{-itL_p} 1 \rangle = \langle e^{itL_q} 1 | 1 \rangle, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{p}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

yields a characterization of $e(\alpha)$ in terms of spectral resonances of L_p .

10. The principle of regular entropic fluctuations

Remark. Since, for entropically non-trivial systems, μ and μ^+ are mutually singular, the ES-symmetry and the GC-symmetry are two very different statements. The ES symmetry is a mathematical triviality (even though it has deep consequences) while the GC-symmetry is a true mathematical finesse containing a lot of interesting information about the NESS μ^+ .

10. The principle of regular entropic fluctuations

Remark. Since, for entropically non-trivial systems, μ and μ^+ are mutually singular, the ES-symmetry and the GC-symmetry are two very different statements. The ES symmetry is a mathematical triviality (even though it has deep consequences) while the GC-symmetry is a true mathematical finesse containing a lot of interesting information about the NESS μ^+ .

Cohen-Gallavotti: *Note on two theorems in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics*. J. Stat. Phys. 96, 1343–1349 (1999)

10. The principle of regular entropic fluctuations

Remark. Since, for entropically non-trivial systems, μ and μ^+ are mutually singular, the ES-symmetry and the GC-symmetry are two very different statements. The ES symmetry is a mathematical triviality (even though it has deep consequences) while the GC-symmetry is a true mathematical finesse containing a lot of interesting information about the NESS μ^+ .

Consequently one expects the two functions $e(\alpha)$ and $e^+(\alpha)$ as well as the two generalized functions $g(X, Y)$ and $g^+(X, Y)$ to be quite different.

10. The principle of regular entropic fluctuations

Remark. Since, for entropically non-trivial systems, μ and μ^+ are mutually singular, the ES-symmetry and the GC-symmetry are two very different statements. The ES symmetry is a mathematical triviality (even though it has deep consequences) while the GC-symmetry is a true mathematical finesse containing a lot of interesting information about the NESS μ^+ .

Consequently one expects the two functions $e(\alpha)$ and $e^+(\alpha)$ as well as the two generalized functions $g(X, Y)$ and $g^+(X, Y)$ to be quite different.

Our main contribution to the subject (as far as classical systems are concerned) is the following

Principle of regular entropic fluctuations. In all systems known to exhibit the GC-symmetry, respectively the generalized GC-symmetry, one has

$$e^+(\alpha) = e(\alpha), \quad \text{respectively} \quad g^+(X, Y) = g(X, Y),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu^s \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^\tau d\tau} \right) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu^s \left(e^{-\alpha \int_0^t \sigma^\tau d\tau} \right)$$

11. Examples

- **A shift.** The left shift on the sequences $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the measure

$$d\mu(x) = \left(\prod_{i \leq 0} F(-x_i) dx_i \right) \left(\prod_{i > 0} F(x_i) dx_i \right)$$

Time reversal is $\vartheta(x)_i = -x_{-i}$ and $d\mu^+(x) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F(x_i) dx_i$. A simple calculation yields

$$e(\alpha) = e^+(\alpha) = \log \int F(x)^\alpha F(-x)^{(1-\alpha)} dx$$

and one immediately checks that $e(1 - \alpha) = e(\alpha)$.

11. Examples

- **A shift.** The left shift on the sequences $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the measure

$$d\mu(x) = \left(\prod_{i \leq 0} F(-x_i) dx_i \right) \left(\prod_{i > 0} F(x_i) dx_i \right)$$

Time reversal is $\vartheta(x)_i = -x_{-i}$ and $d\mu^+(x) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F(x_i) dx_i$. A simple calculation yields

$$e(\alpha) = e^+(\alpha) = \log \int F(x)^\alpha F(-x)^{(1-\alpha)} dx$$

and one immediately checks that $e(1 - \alpha) = e(\alpha)$.

- **Linear dynamics of Gaussian random fields**

11. Examples

- A shift. The left shift on the sequences $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the measure

$$d\mu(x) = \left(\prod_{i \leq 0} F(-x_i) dx_i \right) \left(\prod_{i > 0} F(x_i) dx_i \right)$$

Time reversal is $\vartheta(x)_i = -x_{-i}$ and $d\mu^+(x) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F(x_i) dx_i$. A simple calculation yields

$$e(\alpha) = e^+(\alpha) = \log \int F(x)^\alpha F(-x)^{(1-\alpha)} dx$$

and one immediately checks that $e(1 - \alpha) = e(\alpha)$.

- Linear dynamics of Gaussian random fields
- Infinite Harmonic chain

11. Examples

- A shift. The left shift on the sequences $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the measure

$$d\mu(x) = \left(\prod_{i \leq 0} F(-x_i) dx_i \right) \left(\prod_{i > 0} F(x_i) dx_i \right)$$

Time reversal is $\vartheta(x)_i = -x_{-i}$ and $d\mu^+(x) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F(x_i) dx_i$. A simple calculation yields

$$e(\alpha) = e^+(\alpha) = \log \int F(x)^\alpha F(-x)^{(1-\alpha)} dx$$

and one immediately checks that $e(1 - \alpha) = e(\alpha)$.

- Linear dynamics of Gaussian random fields
- Infinite Harmonic chain
- Markov chains

11. Examples

- A shift. The left shift on the sequences $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the measure

$$d\mu(x) = \left(\prod_{i \leq 0} F(-x_i) dx_i \right) \left(\prod_{i > 0} F(x_i) dx_i \right)$$

Time reversal is $\vartheta(x)_i = -x_{-i}$ and $d\mu^+(x) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F(x_i) dx_i$. A simple calculation yields

$$e(\alpha) = e^+(\alpha) = \log \int F(x)^\alpha F(-x)^{(1-\alpha)} dx$$

and one immediately checks that $e(1 - \alpha) = e(\alpha)$.

- Linear dynamics of Gaussian random fields
- Infinite Harmonic chain
- Markov chains
- Anosov diffeomorphisms

11. Examples

- A shift. The left shift on the sequences $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the measure

$$d\mu(x) = \left(\prod_{i \leq 0} F(-x_i) dx_i \right) \left(\prod_{i > 0} F(x_i) dx_i \right)$$

Time reversal is $\vartheta(x)_i = -x_{-i}$ and $d\mu^+(x) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F(x_i) dx_i$. A simple calculation yields

$$e(\alpha) = e^+(\alpha) = \log \int F(x)^\alpha F(-x)^{(1-\alpha)} dx$$

and one immediately checks that $e(1 - \alpha) = e(\alpha)$.

- Linear dynamics of Gaussian random fields
- Infinite Harmonic chain
- Markov chains
- Anosov diffeomorphisms
- Chaotic Homeomorphisms of compact metric spaces (for suitable definition of entropy production)