

LECTURE, SUMMER SCHOOL, AUTRANS, JULY 2013

QUANTUM CORRELATIONS

IN BIPARTITE QUANTUM SYSTEMS

Dominique SPEHNER, Institut Fourier & Laboratoire de Physique
des Milieux Condensés, Grenoble, France.

I). ENTANGLED VS SEPARABLE STATES : PURE STATES

- Consider a quantum system composed of 2 subsystems A and B with Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_A$ and $\mathcal{H}_B$. Assume $n = \dim \mathcal{H}_A \leq \dim \mathcal{H}_B < \infty$.

- A pure state of AB is a unit vector $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. Any such $|\Psi\rangle$

admits a Schmidt decomposition $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\mu_i} |\varphi_i^A\rangle \otimes |\varphi_i^B\rangle$

with $\{|\varphi_i^A\rangle\}_{i=1}^n$, $\{|\varphi_j^B\rangle\}_{j=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}_B}$ ONB. of $\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_B$ (same i) and $\mu_i \geq 0, \sum_i \mu_i = 1$

- Reduced states:

$$\rho_A = \text{tr}_B |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i |\varphi_i^A\rangle\langle\varphi_i^A|, \quad \text{same for } \rho_B$$

↳ unicity of the Schmidt decomposition.

Def. 1 A pure state $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ is separable if $|\Psi\rangle = |\alpha\rangle_{\bigcap \mathcal{H}_A} \otimes |\beta\rangle_{\bigcap \mathcal{H}_B}$
 (product state). Otherwise, $|\Psi\rangle$ is entangled.

- Hence $|\Psi\rangle$ is separable iff all Schmidt coefficients μ_i save one vanish

iff $\rho_A = |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$ is a pure state (same for ρ_B)

- $|\Psi\rangle$ is maximally entangled iff all μ_i are equal, $\mu_i = \frac{1}{n}$

iff $\rho_A = \frac{1}{n}$

e.g. singlet $|\phi_{-}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle - |11\rangle) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ (Bell state)

Entanglement measures


① Entanglement of Formation

$$E_{\text{EoF}}(|\Psi\rangle) = S(\rho_A) = S(\rho_B) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \ln \mu_i$$

with $S(\rho) =$ von Neumann entropy

$|\Psi\rangle$ separable iff $E_{\text{EoF}}(|\Psi\rangle) = 0$

$|\Psi\rangle$ max. entangled iff $E_{\text{EoF}}(|\Psi\rangle) = \ln n$ maximum



N.B. $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} E_{\text{EoF}}(|\Psi\rangle^{\otimes N}) =$ fraction of singlets $|\phi_-\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^4$ per copy of $|\Psi\rangle$ that can be produced from N copies of $|\Psi\rangle$ by Local Operations & Classical Communication when $N \rightarrow \infty$

② Fubini-Study distance to the set $\mathcal{S}_p$ of pure separable states

$$E(|\Psi\rangle) = d_{FS}(|\Psi\rangle, \mathcal{S}_p)^2 = \min_{|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{S}_p} 2(1 - |\langle\Psi|\phi\rangle|)$$

$$= 2(1 - \mu_{\max})$$



③ For any concave function $f: \rho \mapsto f(\rho) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ which is symmetric in the eigenvalues of ρ and such that $f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, 0) = f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$, $f(1, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$,

$E_f(|\Psi\rangle) = f(\rho_A) = f(\rho_B)$ is a "good" entanglement measure [VIDAL 2000]

II) 1. ENTANGLED VS SEPARABLE: MIXED STATES

- Pure state convex decompositions of a density matrix ρ

$$\boxed{\rho = \sum_k p_k |\Psi_k\rangle\langle\Psi_k|}$$

$$|\Psi_k\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B, \|\Psi_k\| = 1, p_k \geq 0, \sum_k p_k = 1$$


NOT NECESSARILY $\perp$

Physically: system AB prepared in state $|\Psi_k\rangle$ with proba p_k .


There are ∞ -many such decompositions! (related by $\sqrt{p'_j}|\Psi'_j\rangle = \sum_k u_{jk} \sqrt{p_k} |\Psi_k\rangle$)

unitary matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ex: } \rho &= \frac{1}{2} |0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{2} |1\rangle\langle 1| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |\Psi_+\rangle\langle\Psi_+| + \frac{1}{2} |\Psi_-\rangle\langle\Psi_-| \end{aligned}$$



$$= \mathcal{C}(|\Psi_1\rangle\langle\Psi_1| + |\Psi_2\rangle\langle\Psi_2| + |\Psi_3\rangle\langle\Psi_3|)$$



QUANTUM AMBIGUITY

Def 2 [WERNER '89] A mixed state ρ of AB is separable if it admits a pure state convex decomposition with $|\Psi_k\rangle = |\alpha_k\rangle \otimes |\beta_k\rangle \quad \forall k$

• PERES-HOREDECKI criterion: ρ separable $\Rightarrow \rho^{T_B} \geq 0$

with $T_B =$ partial transpose ($\langle \varphi_i^A \otimes \varphi_j^B | \rho^{T_B} | \varphi_k^A \otimes \varphi_l^B \rangle \equiv \langle \varphi_i^A \otimes \varphi_l^B | \rho | \varphi_k^A \otimes \varphi_j^B \rangle$)

Transposition is a positive but not 2-positive map.

For $n=2 \leq \dim H_B \leq 3$, converse is also true: $\rho^{T_B} \geq 0 \Rightarrow \rho$ separable


• For $n \times \dim H_B > 6$, no simple separability criterion!

Entanglement measures

① Entanglement of formation (BENNETT et al. '96)

$$E_{\text{EoF}}(\rho) = \min_{\{|\Psi_k\rangle, p_k\}} \left\{ \sum_k p_k E_{\text{EoF}}(|\Psi_k\rangle) \right\}$$

(convex roof)



② Bures distance to the (convex) set of separable states $\mathcal{S}$:

$$E(\rho) = d_B(\rho, \mathcal{S})^2 \equiv \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}} 2(1 - \text{tr} \sqrt{V_\rho \sigma V_\rho})$$


BURES distance

$F(\rho, \sigma) =$ UHLMANN's fidelity
[175]

$$F(\rho, \mathcal{S}) \equiv \max_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}} F(\rho, \sigma) = \max_{\{|\Psi_k\rangle, p_k\}} \left\{ \sum_k p_k F(|\Psi_k\rangle, \mathcal{S}_\rho) \right\} \quad [\text{STRELTSOV, KAMPERMANN, BRUß '16}] \quad ⑦$$

③ The 2-qubit case: Concurrence

Prop [WOOTTERS '97]



If $H_A \cong H_B \cong \mathbb{C}^2$, then $E_{\text{EoF}}(\rho) = h(C(\rho))$ with

$$C(\rho) = \max \{ 0, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \}$$

$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \geq \lambda_4 \geq 0$ are the square roots of the eigenvalues of

the 4×4 matrix $\rho \otimes b_y \otimes b_y \bar{\rho} \otimes b_y \otimes b_y$ with $b_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

complex conjugation in canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$

Moreover, $C(\rho) = \max_{\{|\Psi_k\rangle, p_k\}} \sum_k p_k C(|\Psi_k\rangle)$ with $C(|\Psi\rangle) = |\langle \Psi | b_y \otimes b_y | \bar{\Psi} \rangle|$

N.B. Similarly, the geometric meas. of entanglement $E(\rho)$ is a simple function of $C(\rho)$ (8)

III) NON-CLASSICAL VS CLASSICAL STATES

- Classical stochastic processes:

↳ Correlations between A and B characterized by the mutual information

$$I(A:B) = H(A) + H(B) - H(A,B) \geq 0 \quad \text{with } H = \text{Shannon entropy}$$

$I(A:B) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A$ and B independent.

Then $I(A:B) = \underbrace{H(B) - H(B|A)}$ with $H(B|A) = \sum_i p_A(i) H(B|A=i)$

GAIN OF INFORMATION ON B
BY MEASURING A

(conditionnal entropy) ⑨